THE COURT: --in the instant message, to the extent the e-mails are coming from her, we don't have a problem that we are addressing today because those are coming in under 801(d)(2).

MS. MABRY: Right.

THE COURT: To the extent you are seeking to admit again hearsay statements from Jerry Cassaday that's where we have to get into our--

MS. MABRY: And--

THE COURT: --exceptions to the hearsay rule or if it isn't hearsay, if it has some other purpose and that's why I'm trying to clarify.

MS. MABRY: Right. If you want me to just address the e-mails from Jerry I can do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MABRY: We believe that they're admissible under a number of different theories, and I'll start out with the least favorite one of the Court, I know, which is the catchall exception, and we believe the thrust of the catchall exception is that they're trustworthy, that they bear adequate indicia of reliability.

In this particular case you would hear testimony that these e-mail transmissions were recovered from Jerry Cassaday's computer and recovered even after the defendant was charged, that they are self-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authenticating. I don't believe for a minute that Mr. Nickola believes that anything was altered on these, that they are not reliable. Jerry Cassaday would have no idea that the police after his death would go in there and retrieve all these e-mails. So they are authentic, they're reliable, we believe that they satisfy all the requirements for the catchall exception.

Additionally, they are statements of coconspirators, it's all part of a big picture, a big tangled web of how we get to the killing of Bruce Miller, and again the jury will be left without a void if -- if they don't get that big picture, and probably there are also statements against interest as they apply to Jerry. But probably most importantly what these e-mails depict from Jerry Cassaday's point of view is his state of mind. He is madly in love, he believes that Sharee is madly in love with him. He believes that she is going to leave her husband; he believes she is gonna move out there, start a new life with him. He--he meets her children, that -- that's talked about in one of the emails, she come out there and meets his child. It talks about building this life together, then it--he believes that she is in an abusive relationship. He believes that what he had first thought was merely emotional abuse is in fact emotional and physical abuse and that

her husband has raped her, that her husband has caused her to abort two sets of babies, all of these things from Jerry's point of view as to his state of mind are probative and they're relevant to this case and they can be admitted under the state of mind exception. They're not being—they're also not hearsay because I'm not seeking to introduce the fact that she was pregnant. I'm not even seeking to introduce the fact that she cared one wit about this guy 'cause I'm not sure that she did, but I merely need to introduce his e-mails to know where he was coming from that would cause him to be manipulated into killing Bruce Miller.

THE COURT: Do you wanna respond at all right now, Mr. Nickola?

MR. NICKOLA: Well, I--I don't know, I guess I would.

First of all, Judge, I would argue to the Court that Mr. Cassaday's state of mind is not issue in this case, it's my client's state of mind. It's not his state of mind. And--

THE COURT: Well, when we are focusing on the statement of an unavailable declarant we are looking at his state of mind, and in the context of this case in which there is a theory, as I understand it, based on the two counts in the Information of a conspiracy be-

tween your client and the deceased, Jerry Cassaday, to commit the murder of Bruce Miller, I would suggest that the state of mind of Jerry Cassaday is highly relevant.

So go right ahead.

MR. NICKOLA: Well, that--that is the position I'm just placing for the record, Judge, I understand--

THE COURT: Yes, I understand--

MR. NICKOLA: --understand where the Court is at, and I'm responding to what Ms. Mann had indicated, and I would also disagree with her characterization that I--I would have no argument that these e-mails were subject to manipulation because I believe that they are, and also her indication that I would not contend the jury would have no idea that the police would look into his computer being that he is an ex-police officer and a former security advisor to Harrah's Casino and very proficient in computers and had been involved in investigations of crimes himself and made the indication of what the Court has already ruled in the suicide note that he is sending all the information to the police. So I--I--I'm just for purpose of this record, I--I would contest that characterization.

THE COURT: Well, maybe you all know something I'm not sure about. My understanding and again I'm basing it on a--my understanding of how computers work

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

comes from a case that I have here, United States against James Maxwell and was decided in 1996 here, and I'm trying to see, the cite I have looks like a, Major, from Lexus, if you wanna take a look--THE CLERK: Yes, under--THE COURT: I don't know if I can tell you where else it comes from, where did you get that? THE CLERK: (Indistinct) THE COURT: It's from a military court but, at anyway, it talks all about AOL and how AOL works, and I thought that perhaps these documents or at least the storage was in Virginia, but I don't know in this case, so I'm a little bit in the dark maybe. MR. NICKOLA: Actually --THE COURT: I'm not sure where they--how they were retrieved. MR. NICKOLA: They were retrieved out of the hard drives, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Right, there, and not-nothing came out of AOL storage in Virginia then. MR. NICKOLA: There is documents that at preliminary--MR. PLUMMER: Wel1--MR. NICKOLA: --exam were admitted and that is 24 part of the Motion in Limine, right where we are at

right now, believe the information that we have before 1 us was retracted or extracted I should say out of the 2 hard drives of Jerry Cassaday--3 THE COURT: You agree, Ms. Mabry? 4 MR. NICKOLA: Some from Sharee Miller--5 THE COURT: Mr. Plummer, anybody, I don't know? 6 MR. PLUMMER: Yes, your Honor, what is your 7 question? 8 THE COURT: Well, this -- we are talking now not 9 instant message, the e-mails--10 MR. PLUMMER: Yes--11THE COURT: --the package of e-mails we are 12 talking about, these were retrieved--they weren't stored 13 in Virginia. 14 MR. PLUMMER: No. 15 THE COURT: These were stored on the hard drive 16 of Cassaday, is that what you're telling me--17 MR. PLUMMER: Jerry Cassaday, that's correct--18 THE COURT: And either they were retrieved from 19 the actual hard drive there in his apartment or whatever 20 it was, his room anyway, or from some disks that may 21 have been in the briefcase 'cause I never did--22 MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, as I understand the--23 THE COURT: --know quite know what's in the 24 briefcase, so I didn't know--25

MR. PLUMMER: The e--the e-mails themselves, it 1 is my understanding, came off of his hard drive of his 2 There were a couple of photos that were alcomputer. 3 leged to be image of the defendant with what appeared to 4 be bruises, etc., that came off a disk, that's a little 5 different than what we are talking about in the court-6 room right today, but no these were not--7 THE COURT: Okay. So we are not talking about 8 anything retrieved from AOL storage facility in 9 10 Virginia. MR. PLUMMER: No, your Honor, and I think what 11 counsel for defense tried to offer to the Court was, 12 when you said that we're not talking anything from AOL, 13 there will--we will be offering testimony from a person 14 named Don Colcolough from AOL to discuss the records 15 they kept about these two accounts. 16 THE COURT: Right, the account records--17 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, right--18 THE COURT: -- I understand--19 MR. PLUMMER: --but not the e-mail records. 20 THE COURT: Not the content. 21 That's correct. MR. PLUMMER: 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks for helping me because 23 I just know from the--and I wasn't sure how this ex-24 actly, this set of documents got created. 25

All right. So you know now, Ms. Mabry, in any 1 event, he is not agreeing that they're not, quote, tam-2 pered with, end quote. 3 MR. NICKOLA: Right, your Honor, that is cor-4 I believe as a matter of fact when documents are 5 downloaded onto computers or saved on the computer they 6 are absolutely subject to manipulation. 7 So anyway, getting back to my point I wanted to 8 make sure--9 THE COURT: Okay, I'm sorry to interrupt you, 10 but I have know, I don't know everything you all know in 11 the case. 12 MS. MABRY: Well, he--he is gonna say I believe 13 that the instant message that you just previously ruled 14 on was altered by the deceased, Jerry Cassaday. My the-15 ory is, so did he alter the instant message, did he al-16 ter all these hundreds of e-mails that were left on his 17 hard drive also that talk about -- that lead up to this 18 killing? 19 It would be nice--MR NICKOLA: 20 MS. MABRY: I don't think so. 21 MR. NICKOLA: It would be a nice question to ask 22 in cross-examination if I could. 23 MS. MABRY: It--and--24 MR. NICKOLA: But I don't--I don't have that 25

opportunity.

MS. MABRY: And he will be able to do that with Mr. Colcolough just as he did with the instant message, and we are actually at a disadvantage because if we had Jerry Cassaday here I think we would be a lot better off.

MR. NICKOLA: Well, I--

THE COURT: The situation in any event has not presented itself so we'll deal with what is before the Court.

All right, here we are, we are discussing the instant, I'm sorry, the e-mails that are potentially being offered in the case, a packet of which has been received by the Court starting with August 28, '99. Sorry.

MR. NICKOLA: Thank you, Judge.

Judge, I'm--I'm just placing my objections as it relates to it, and my objections are very similar to the objections I had before because I believe the prosecutor is offering them really in--in the same exceptions that they have offered in the past and I have already, many of these such as the catch-all exception or the state of mind exception I briefed and I would adopt the arguments that I have, you know, preserved for this record and the cases that indicate that. I think that to go back

through the analysis would be redundant, but I--I do believe that in these particular e-mails in and of themselves as it relates to their contention that it has to do with his state of mind, I don't believe that the emails are talking about a state of mind. The, I mean, you can make an argument, but he is not specifically discussing his state of mind. There is a lot of--lot of ramblings on and on about every little thing that happens in life and, I mean, there is over a hundred documents that at least I'm aware of -- not aware of what they have decided now that they pared down from what's before the Court right now, but apparently there is some more have been pared down, but I would simply argue that unless he's specifically talking about a state of mind and that's--that's not applicable in this case, as it relates to 803 or 804(b)(3), as it relates to his against his penal interest if that is what they're arguing which I think they are, I don't think that there is any inculpatory statements in any of the e-mails. I don't think they are talking ever in the e-mails about any particular criminal act that he is gonna commit as it relates to what the qualifications are pursuant to what we have already argued about, the catchall exception, there has got to be an indicia of reliability. As we have gone through already with the Court, if there--to--these

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

documents are being entered to prove the truth of the matter asserted. It's easy to stand here and say I'm not trying to prove that she got pregnant or not, but I think that the argument just before our break was, as a part of the master scheme here she said she was pregnant and our arguing photographs and the Court has reviewed the photographs, and to say that that's not being used to prove the truth of the matter asserted it's just saying it, it has no meaning based upon what the -- the real intent here is and I think--THE COURT: It isn't offered to prove that she was pregnant. MR. NICKOLA: It's being offered to prove that

she--

She wanted him to think she was THE COURT: pregnant, that's all--

MR. NICKOLA: That's correct.

THE COURT: By virtue of what she said or did--

MR. NICKOLA: And--and--

THE COURT: -- and what did she say, we can read or listen to it if it's read to us or we can look at what she did and send photos of herself in an apparent pregnant state.

MR. NICKOLA: Well, then is -- as the Court has indicated, the state of mind is a relevant issue for the

trial then it is being proved--offered to prove the--the truth of the matter asserted that his state of mind was manipulated because of these alleged lies that were told to him. I--that's my--that's the position I believe, to simply to say they're not used to prove the truth of the matter asserted I think is--is incorrect, I think it's being said to--to try and circumvent the--the real issue here in which they are using these.

I got some other objections, there are some of these documents that I think have some very graphic sexual--

THE COURT: Well, I thought we just go through them one at a time, I'm starting on the first one as a blanket, we can start with the blanket and then we will take each one and go through it.

MR. NICKOLA: All right. Well, Judge, that-that encompasses my objection as--at least as it relates to her overall objection.

THE COURT: Looking at August 28, '99, then and being aware again our declarant is unavailable, there are two ways to look at reliability. One is whether there is a firmly rooted exception to the hearsay rule, and if not, then looking at the totality of the circumstances, whether or not there are sufficient indicia reliability to allow admission of the statement.

And it is very clear to the Court after reviewing the package that there is probably only approximately ten statements that would be offered for the truth of what is asserted. I'm feeling a little uncomfortable trying to anticipate the prosecutor's position, but, for example, 8/28, I don't think there is a word on there she wants in for the truth, and certainly the first thing is his state of mind. He is anticipating the short time until he is going to see Sharee Miller. And I don't think I'm going to analyze them paragraph by paragraph, but the bottom line is he is anticipating this meeting apparently they're going to have and telling her his schedule.

So it's an 803(3) situation, state of mind exception to the hearsay rule to the extent there is a statement being made at all which the Court is not sure is even being made. It isn't offered to prove the truth of anything on the page, but it can come in if you wish as a state of mind exception.

There is nothing against penal interest in this document that I can see nor does it relate to any conspiracy to do an unlawful act.

Going to August 29, '99, it's hers to him so that comes in without any further discussion.

Eight/twenty-nine/ninety-nine, another document

1.2

1.	is here to her
2	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, if Iif I
3	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Plummer.
4	MR. PLUMMER: 'Cause these don't jive 100 per-
5	cent, if you could mention perhaps the subject, you
6	know, where it says subject
7	THE COURT: I think that they are listed
8	MR. PLUMMER:as well as the date
9	THE COURT:here
10	MR. PLUMMER:then I will be able to follow
11	the Court
12	THE COURT: The time is right on it on the list
13	I gave Ms. Mabry and Mr. Nickola.
14	MR. PLUMMER: But the time isn't on everyone of
15	them
16	THE COURT: On this one I just finished it says
17	2:30 colon 16 p.m.
18	MR. PLUMMER: But, your Honor, what was the one
19	for 8/29?
20	THE COURT: That's the next one, it doesn't have
21	a time. There is more than one, there are three on
22	8/29.
23	MR. PLUMMER: Correct.
24	THE COURT: Two of them have times, one doesn't.
25	MR. PLUMMER: And you're on the one that has a
	I .

photo? Thank you. 1 THE COURT: Well, I finished that one--2 MR. PLUMMER: Says my beauty queen. 3 THE COURT: -- I thought. I know this one, I 4 have a red flag on it because of the pornographic con-5 tent which upsets the Court because I just feel this is 6 gonna be something difficult for everybody, mostly I'm 7 worried about the jury. 8 MS. MABRY: And that would be the one that the 9 subject is, hi, baby, and the one she also--10 THE COURT: Just a quick note --11 MR. PLUMMER: No, she--12 THE COURT: --it starts out, yes. 13 MS. MABRY: Right, correct. 14 MR. PLUMMER: Okay. 15 THE COURT: And it goes on and on and I--16 MS. MABRY: Judge, and the reason why this is 17 one of the ones that we feel that we have to keep is 18 that he mentioned that he has the "For Jerry's Eyes 19 Only" tape and that he seen it and that he is watching 20 her tape everyday. I'm--I'm not anymore excited about 21 the pornographic content, but it just seems to be a part 22 of this case that is inescapable and we're--we're not 23 seeking to introduce it gratuitously, we really believe 24 25 that there is a reason for it.

THE COURT: Well, it's all state of mind so 1 there it is, 803(3). 2 Then there is another one 8/29/99 at 6:13:57. 3 MS. MABRY: Judge, the reason why this is a 4 keeper is, and Jerry, I'm getting those shots so I won't 5 again get pregnant. I don't want another baby and that 6 needs to be prevented before all is taken out and never 7 able. 8 THE COURT: Well--9 MS. MABRY: In other--in other words, not for 10 the truth--11 THE COURT: It's from her--12 MS. MABRY: --of the matter asserted--13 THE COURT: --anyway, it's hers, so--14 MS. MABRY: Right, okay--15 THE COURT: -- there it comes. 16 (Court conferring with the clerk) 17 I'm sorry, Major, made a suggestion, that one 18 starts out if you want it for the record, real--Re: Hi, 19 baby, that is what Ms. Mabry said, so we're all--okay. 20 The next one is subject, no subject, and it is 21 8/30/99. It's hers to him, so it comes in. 22 MS. MABRY: Judge, it's hers, I want that in--23 THE COURT: Right, hers. 24 Number, the next one is Re: Hello, baby, of 25

1	
1	August 31, '99.
2	MS. MABRY: I don't need that. Paid my little
3	THE COURT: Just state of mind again if you want
4	it all there, it's just love you and miss you and all
5	those syrupy things
6	MS. MABRY: Okay. Judge
7	THE COURT: I guess what I can rule and then if
8	you wanna pull
9	MR. PLUMMER: Let's
10	MS. MABRY: Yes
11	THE COURT:pull them out
12	MS. MABRY: Keep them in
13	THE COURT:that's up to you.
14	MS. MABRY: Okay, okay.
15	THE COURT: Wanna do it that way?
16	MS. MABRY: Very good, yes.
17	THE COURT: Re: Hello, baby, 8/31, state of
18	mind
19	MS. MABRY: Thank you
20	THE COURT:comes in.
21	Subject, Good morning, baby, 8/31/99.
22	MS. MABRY: State of mind, he talks of the dream
23	of the day we are finally one
24	THE COURT: Right. Here we are state of mind,
25	coming in.

1	Then the next one is, quote, Hello, baby, of
2	8/31/99 at 5:24
3	MS. MABRY: That is hers
4	THE COURT:from her to him.
5	MS. MABRY: Yes.
6	THE COURT: So it's in.
7	Eight/thirty-one/ninety-nine at 7:59.
8	MR. PLUMMER: Hers.
9	MS. MABRY: That is also hers.
10	THE COURT: Hers to him, comes in.
11	MR. PLUMMER: That's all I got to say.
12	THE COURT: Next one is, Good morning, baby,
13	9/1/99.
14	MS. MABRY: Judge, yes, I have them separated
15	according to month, if I can have just a moment?
16	THE COURT: Okay, go to September now.
17	MS. MABRY: Okay. I believe there was my little
18	beauty queen that was in there, too, Iyou already ad-
19	mitted that.
20	THE COURT: Beauty queen?
21	MR. PLUMMER: That was the one with photos, your
22	Honor, that was from her.
23	THE COURT: My beauty queen was the one dated
24	August 29, '99, at 2:30.
25	MS. MABRY: Right.

1	THE COURT: And I already said that
2	MS. MABRY: Okay
3	THE COURT:dealt with that one. Hers.
4	MR. PLUMMER: Yes.
5	THE COURT: If you have your package of Septem-
6	bers there
7	MS. MABRY: Yes
8	MR. PLUMMER: Yes
9	THE COURT:then we will go to September
10	MS. MABRY: Yes, I'm fine.
11	THE COURT: First one in September, September 1,
12	subject is, Good morning, baby, again we've got our
13	state of mind exception
14	MS. MABRY: State of mind
15	THE COURT:anticipating this apparent meeting
16	in seven more days.
17	MS. MABRY: That's true.
18	THE COURT: So that will come in.
19	Next one is no subject dated September 3.
20	MS. MABRY: Judge, we believe it's relevant be-
21	cause it communicates another way to contact him which
22	is in a crap pit but
23	THE COURT: I don't see anyare you offering
24	any of it for the proof of the truth? I don't know, see
25	the phone numbers and all that, I have no idea what your

1 case entails--MS. MABRY: Not that that is the true phone num-2 ber, but just that apparently there were other means of 3 communicating other than through these hundreds of e-4 mails. So it's state of mind that -- that their relation-5 ship was very involved, that the e-mails were just the 6 tip of the iceberg. 7 THE COURT: Basically, it is just corroborative 8 of the relationship which is involving communication not 9 only through e-mail, but through telephone. 10 The Court believes this matter would be admissi-11 ble as an exception to the hearsay rule. Looking at the 12 Poole criteria, certainly voluntarily given, contempora-13 neous with going to work, to someone to whom he would 14 speak the truth and obviously uttered spontaneous, so 15 that's 9/3. 16 Then 9/3, it says, Hello, on the top at 8:19. 17 That would be hers. MS. MABRY: 18 THE COURT: Hers to him, and it's one, two, 19 three, four, five pages --20 MS. MABRY: Correct--21 THE COURT: --comes in. 22 Next one is no subject dated, September 4, '99, 23 from her again to him at--24 MS. MABRY: Correct--25

1	THE COURT:at 6:33, admitted as 801(d)(2).
2	Next one is Re: My baby fell asleep, 9/5/99 at
3	6:48.
4	MS. MABRY: That's hers.
5	THE COURT: Hers to him, admitted.
6	Hello, honey, is next at 9/5/99, 7:19, hers to
7	him, admitted.
8	Next is, Good morning, love, at 9/6/99, 6:43,
9	hers to him
10	MS. MABRY: Again state of mind, Judge
11	THE COURT: It's hers to him
12	MS. MABRY: July 17th was the day my life began.
13	THE COURT: Hers to him.
14	MS. MABRY: Oh, I'm sorry, Good morning, my
15	love.
16	THE COURT: Good morning, love
17	MS. MABRY: Sharee, my love, another sleepless
18	day.
19	THE COURT: No, that's not the one I'm on
20	MS. MABRY: Oh, I got the wrong one.
21	THE COURT: I'm on the one before that.
22	MS. MABRY: There's two good morning my love's?
23	THE COURT: This is, Good morning, love, 9/6/99,
24	6:43
25	MR. PLUMMER: No, here.

1	MS. MABRY: Oh, Okay
2	MR. PLUMMER: Jerry
3	THE COURT: From Sharee.
4	MS. MABRY: II'm on the
5	MR. PLUMMER:your letters are very beautiful?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MS. MABRY: Are so very
8	MR. PLUMMER: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: That's hers to him.
10	MS. MABRY: That's hers, correct, thank you.
11	THE COURT: Here we go, now let's see here just
12	a minute.
13	The next one is, Good morning, my love, 9/6/99.
14	MS. MABRY: And that's the one I'm saying is
15	state of mind. Talks about the beginning of the
16	relationship
17	THE COURT: State of mind about what a wonderful
18	thing now that they've met. The sleepless nights, ad-
19	mitted, state of mind.
20	The next one is, Hello, 9/6/99, at 4:13.
21	MS. MABRY: That's hers
22	
23	The next one is subject, Julie, 9/6/99, 9:16.
24	
25	THE COURT: I don't know what this is

1	
1	MS. MABRY:thatthat's not
2	THE COURT:or who it is or anything
3	MS. MABRY:relevant
4	THE COURT:so clarify it for me.
5	MS. MABRY: This is the sister, or I'm sorry,
6	the daughter of the deceased, this is Bruce Miller's
7	daughter Julie.
8	THE COURT: Is this some relation to this case,
9	this one, for any reason whatsoever?
10	MS. MABRY: Other than they were exchanging in-
11	formation about their families andbut it can be taken
12	out, Judge.
13	THE COURT: I don't, Mr. Nickola, unless you
14	were interested, I don't know what role this person
15	plays or why picture has anything to do with this case,
16	I'm taking it out
17	MR. NICKOLA: Well, itit
18	MS. MABRY: That's fine
19	MR. NICKOLA:Judge, ititif they wanna
20	withdraw their offer I'm notI'm not
21	THE COURT: You wanna
22	MR. NICKOLA:precluding my offer.
23	THE COURT: If you have any you want in believe
24	me, let me know, but that one is out.
25	MS. MABRY: Out, okay
	·

THE COURT: Subject, Julie, is out. 1 Now, we have one date -- stated, no subject, 2 9/6/99 at 9:22:52, and this just appears to be, is it, 3 the photographs, we have a series of photographs and I 4 think--5 MS. MABRY: It's from her--6 THE COURT: -- I have indicated here--7 MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, are you able to see 8 the depiction in your copy? 9 THE COURT: Well, two of 'em are pretty good, 10 not bad, but the last one is really bad, really bad--11 MS. MABRY: Okay. 12 I guess they are all the same, THE COURT: 13 9/6/99 at 9:28, there is another one. They kind of go 14 together, they are a series of photos. 15 MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I'd like to give the 16 Court bailiff about a dozen pages that I think are next 17 in sequence so the Court could just kind of look at it 18 compared to the ones that has some notes with some pic-19 tures on it. I'd ask the Court if they could stay in 20 21 order--THE COURT: Yes, I had them marked, one, two, 22 three, four. 23 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, some of those were discussed 24 earlier. 25

THE COURT: Well, there is different things 1 depicted, of course, the first four that I had a sticky 2 on seem to be what I think Mr. Nickola calls porno-3 graphic poses. 4 MR. NICKOLA: Correct. 5 THE COURT: Am I right? 6 MR. NICKOLA: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The other ones are just pictures. 8 MR. NICKOLA: Correct. 9 THE COURT: And the People wish to move into 10 evidence at trial all of them, not only the four, but 11 the whole set, is that correct--12 MR. NICKOLA: That's correct. 1.3 THE COURT: And your offer of proof as to proba-1.4 tive value or relevance or? 15 MR. PLUMMER: Now, I need them back so I can see 16 what we are talking about. 17 THE COURT: Right, there you go, thanks. 18 (Counsel conferring with counsel) 19 MS. MABRY: Judge, there are three, four, five, 20 six, seven, eight, nine, nine photographs at the very 21 end that we do not want to introduce that are pictures 22 of Sharee Miller in her younger days or with people that 23 are not identified. We simply want the first four pho-24 25 tographs in.

	THE COURT: The four that have the stickies that
1	
2	are what
3	MS. MABRY: Yes, yes
4	THE COURT:Mr. Nickola has deemed porno-
5	graphic and at least they are provocative if not
6	pornographic.
7	Now, you're movingyou would like to have all
8	of them in, is that correct?
9	MS. MABRY: That's correct, those were sent by
10	Sharee Miller to BruceJerry Cassaday.
11	THE COURT: And just for the record, your proba-
12	tive value, relevance argument is?
13	MS. MABRY: Is to show the intensity of the re-
14	lationship which would cause Jerry Cassaday to kill
15	Bruce Miller and in fact an element of our crime is that
16	we have to show that Jerry Cassaday did in fact kill
17	Bruce Miller.
18	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Nickola?
19	MR. NICKOLA: I have already objected to these,
20	Judge, and just for the record I'm not responding before
21	the Court rules
22	THE COURT: Yes, I know, I just wanna have you
23	if you wanna say
24	MR. NICKOLA: I have already objected
25	THE COURT:anything, feel free to say it
	1

MR. NICKOLA: --in total. 1 THE COURT: And these don't appear to be dupli-2 cates of anything that we have discussed today and there 3 is probative value and relevance regarding the defen-4 dant's motivation in her plan and scheme, I suggest, and 5 they are admitted or will be admitted. 6 MR. PLUMMER: Those are the four we just--7 THE COURT: Those four with the stickies down 8 the side, one through four. 9 Next, subject is, Hello, at 9/6/99, 6:31. 10 MR. PLUMMER: Is this a written document, your 11 Honor, or a photo? 12 THE COURT: No, we are back to writing now. 13 --I--at least mine stopped and there is a -- a writing at 1415 9:30 or 6:31. This is another one of these pornographic --16 MR. PLUMMER: She is talking about this one. 17 THE COURT: At least partly. 18 MR. PLUMMER: Is that the one that has list, I 19 can't wait--20 THE COURT: Yes, I can't wait and PS all over 21 it. 22 MS. MABRY: Judge, that is from her to him and 23 we believe that would be admissible. 24 MR. NICKOLA: Judge, just, I guess I better say 25

1	something here as far as this record is concerned.
2	Obviously, pursuant to their foundation, if they
3	are gonna be able to establish that this is my client
4	that is sending them because
5	THE COURT: That'sthe person that is
6	MR. NICKOLA:we haven't been using
7	THE COURT:depicted here is Jerry's
8	pool(sic)
9	MR. NICKOLA: Yes
10	THE COURT:is hooked up to your client some-
11	how her AOL account in Mt. Morris or whatever it is.
12	MR. NICKOLA: Right, there is athere is a
13	there
14	THE COURT: A foundation will be laid at trial
15	before any of them will come in, and
16	MR. NICKOLA: All right, 'cause that
17	THE COURT:we all agree, right, Ms. Mabry and
18	Mr. Plummer
19	MS. MABRY: Yes.
20	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, your Honor.
21	MR. NICKOLA: Just making sure because we keep
22	using the term it's hers, but there are other people who
23	have access to that screen name, so I'm just wanna make
2 4	the clarification, Judge.
25	THE COURT: But that will go to weight rather

1	than admissibility.
2	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Now, there is a next one that is
4	called, no subject, 9/6/99 at 9:32, and it's another
5	photo again. Now, are youthere is actually a series
6	of photos here, and are you offering all of these or are
7	you saying those are the ones you're withdrawing, Ms.
8	Mabry?
9	MS. MABRY: I withdrew nine photographs at, I
10	believe those must be the ones 'cause
11	THE COURT: Dance costume and the whole thing,
12	those are coming out. Graduating
13	MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, they appear to all be 9/6 of
14	'99 between 9:32
15	THE COURT: Right
16	MR. PLUMMER:p.m. Pacific Daylight Time
17	THE COURT: And 9 or
18	MR. PLUMMER:through 10:12:18 Pacific Day-
19	light time, all on 9/6 of '99
20	THE COURT: Well, the last one I have is
21	10:10:47, but anyway, unless you want any of those pho-
22	tos, Mr. Nickola, those are gone.
23	MR. PLUMMER: Correct, your Honor.
24	MR. NICKOLA: Well, Judge, I guess when the
25	Court says ifif I want 'em or not

THE COURT: Well, sometimes they could be some evidence you want in, I don't know.

MR. NICKOLA: Well, did--that's true, but I'm-I'm not here on a Motion in Limine to put my evidence
forward, they are. I'm--I'm just--if they wanna withdraw it then I just wanna make sure for the record that
because they withdraw it and I don't object that doesn't
preclude me somewhere down the road is all.

THE COURT: Well, down the road, I'm gonna suggest if there is issues I wanna deal with them today, please, everybody, not some other time because there isn't any other time.

MR. NICKOLA: Well, I--I understand that, your Honor, but--

THE COURT: So they're out, anyway they're out, all of these photos.

MR. NICKOLA: Judge, if I--if I could just--I wanna make--make sure the record is clear so that--I understand where the Court is coming from and this is the day we have set aside to deal with my Motion in Limine to seek out evidence; their Motion in Limine which they wanna get their evidence in, but I wanna make sure that what the Court is indicating, that this record is very clear, I don't have a Motion in Limine to introduce evidence that I may put in the case--

THE COURT: You have a Motion in Limine to keep 1 it out, she brought one which I've never really seen to 2 3 get it in, but--MR. NICKOLA: Right--4 THE COURT: --it's--it's good in my view because 5 we will have it all cleared up today. 6 7 MR. NICKOLA: I understand that. I just wanna make sure the record is clear because --8 9 THE COURT: But if you have some I wish you would have told us 'cause we could have gone through 10 yours today also, that's all I'm saying. 11 MR. NICKOLA: Well, I--I--I do have some, but I 12 don't have them piled up here for the Court to look at, 13 but I'm sure that they will all fall in with the same 14 line of rulings that the Court has done up to this 15 point, but I just wanted to make sure the record was 16 17 clear. THE COURT: Next is subject, Hi, honey, 9/7/99. 18 That is Mr. Cassaday's and it again 19 MS. MABRY: talks about wanting to be together and that she is gonna 20 be meeting his son and we believe that is an important 21 state of mind. 22 23 THE COURT: State of mind, comes in, 9/7, Hi, 24 honey. 25 Next one is, Good morning, lover, 9/7.

i	
1	MS. MABRY: Again from Mr. Cassaday and they're
2	not going to be together for a few days, but for eter-
3	nity and we're
4	THE COURT: State of mind, it comes in.
5	Next is no subject of 9/19, and it's hers to
6	him, so it comes in.
7	MS. MABRY: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Next one is no subject at 9/19.
9	MS. MABRY: Again hers to him.
10	THE COURT: Comes in. It's two pages on this
11	one.
12	Next subject is, Hello, again, 9/19/99 at 4:28.
13	MS. MABRY: That's hers
14	THE COURT: Hers to him, comes in.
15	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, if I could have a just
16	a second, I'm trying to sort the originals as you're go-
17	ing through and II don't find that one. Oh, it's
18	nothing
19	MS. MABRY: This one.
20	MR. PLUMMER: Oh, I got that one. I just had
21	that one, and she did this one.
22	MS. MABRY: These two.
23	MR. PLUMMER: See I don't see that.
24	MS. MABRY: It's rightit's right here.
25	MR. PLUMMER: Oh

1	And the one the Court is on, your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Hello, again, 9/19/99 at 4:28.
3	MR. PLUMMER: Where does it say, Hello, again?
4	THE COURT: Hers to him.
5	MR. PLUMMER: Okay.
6	MS. MABRY: We got it.
7	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, your Honor
8	THE COURT: Are we all up to date, so we are all
9	on the same page?
10	MR. PLUMMER: Yup.
11	THE COURT: Next is subject matter, Hey, baby,
12	9/20, hers to him.
13	MR. PLUMMER: Correct.
14	MS. MABRY: Correct.
15	THE COURT: It's a 6:29, '99, I'm sorry, 6:29
16	p.m.
17	MS. MABRY: Yes.
18	THE COURT: The next one is called subject, Not
19	home yet, on 9:20, 8:49 at the top.
20	MS. MABRY: That's hers to him, correct.
21	THE COURT: Hers to him coming in.
22	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, if I could just have
23	one moment, there is one that I think that somehow I
24	just had them in a different order. There was one I be-
25	lieve you have already admitted for being hers on

1	9/19/99 at 10:03:59 from, Not knowing hurts to Sharee's
2	pool
3	THE COURT: Yes, yes.
4	MR. PLUMMER: You have already ruled on that?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: All right, now, we're up to, Hi,
8	honey, on 9/21/99.
9	MS. MABRY: That is his to Sharee, again the
10	state of mind, I know you're trying to get things
11	THE COURT: It's a two-page state of mind.
12	MS. MABRY: Right, And it talks about marriage.
13	THE COURT: So that will be admitted and I have
14	already discussed the rulings, the basis for the ruling.
15	Now, we have the next one, Hi, sexy, that's on
16	9/21/99.
17	MS. MABRY: That's his to her.
18	THE COURT: It's still state of mind, there is
19	nothing that could ever compare with you, no one, etc.
20	MS. MABRY: Right.
21	MR. PLUMMER: Correct.
22	THE COURT: How much I miss you.
23	Next one subject matter, Sorry, 9/21/99 at 4
24	4:51.
25	MS. MABRY: That's hers.

That one comes in. THE COURT: 1 The next is no subject of 9/23/99, hers to him, 2 it comes in. 3 The next is no subject 9/23/99 at 4:27, hers to 4 him. 5 MR. PLUMMER: Did you say 4:27, your Honor? 6 MS. MABRY: And the other was 7:44? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 Thank you. MR. PLUMMER: 9 THE COURT: The next one is, Not bad, please 10 read subject, and then the date is 9/23/99 at 10:43, 11 hers to him. 12 MR. PLUMMER: Correct. 13 MS. MABRY: That's correct. 14 THE COURT: Coming in. 15 The next subject, Hello, my angel, 9/23/99. 16 MS. MABRY: It's his to her after hearing you 17 tell me how much you love and assured me that it won't 18 be much longer until you're in my arms. I dream of the 19 day that we become one forever, state of mind. 20 THE COURT: State of mind, coming in, 9/23. 21 The next one is subject, I love you, 9/24/99. 22 MS. MABRY: That is from him to her, and he is 23 expressing deepest his regrets for what she has been 24 through and it was all for him, and the things she told 25

him ripped him in half, and that is definitely relevant 1 as to state of mind. It says, Honey, I hate that man, 2 3 he will pay. THE COURT: This is state of mind, and then this 4 is where we first get into an area where there is a pos-5 sible 804(b)(2), I'm sorry, (B)(3), I'm sorry, statement 6 against interest because he is stating I hate that man, 7 he will pay, no one, I mean, no one is gonna get away 8 with the things he has done to you, I assure you of 9 that, I assure you Jerry will take care of that. 10 So that particular state of mind as well as 11 statement against penal interest. 12 Now, we are going to no subject on 9/24/99 at 13 14 7:25. MS. MABRY: That's hers, Judge--15 THE COURT: Hers to him, it's two pages coming 16 17 in. Now, the next one says, Look for Sharee under 18 this name. 19 MS. MABRY: It's from her to him which she has 20 numerous names--21 THE COURT: Her to him --22 MS. MABRY: --get out while you can is what she 23 is using in this particular e-mail. They will hear 24 from--25

THE COURT: That will come in, hers to him, all 1 2 right. THE COURT: Nine/twenty five, Hi, baby, 9/25/99. 3 MS. MABRY: That is his to her, it's relevant 4 because he states, now I know after the things you told 5 me it has all has come clear to me now, once you finally 6 told me the truth and all of it, it all makes so much 7 sense now. He matched us together to help each other. 8 MR. PLUMMER: State of mind. 9 MS. MABRY: It's a state of mind. In other 10 words, she is being abused and he is gonna save her. 11 THE COURT: I need you and I have to have you, 12 etc., 9/25/99, Hi, baby comes in, statement against in-13 terest, state of mind. 14 The subject is no subject, it's 9/25/99 at 4:30. 15 MS. MABRY: Judge, that is from--16 THE COURT: This is one I wanted to have some-17 body clear up, who is BD Junk? 18 MS. MABRY: BD Junk is a name that was, and 19 I'll--actually maybe should defer to Mr. Plummer on this 20 21 one. MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, that is one of the 22 screen names listed under the account of Sharee Miller. 23 MS. MABRY: We believe she uses this name in fu-24 ture e-mails to make--25

THE COURT: There are more I know with that 1 2 name--MS. MABRY: --Jerry think that he is hearing 3 from her husband when he taunts--she is making him think 4 that her husband is taunting him about killing his 5 babies. 6 MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, this one it isn't 7 nearly as clear, do you have any reason to have this 8 9 one? MS. MABRY: No. 10 MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I'd ask the Court sim-11 ply exclude this one and we will reserve our arguments 12 about BD Junk, I think it will be a little more obvious 13 in some of the future ones. 14 THE COURT: So we are just going to pull this 15 16 out, right--MR. PLUMMER: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Let me strike it then from my list, 18 please. 19 The next one is subject, I think I may have come 20 up with something here and this--21 MS. MABRY: That is from him to her and he is, I 22 know you hate hearing this, but don't immediately think 23 I'm thinking the wrong thing, but there are options. We 24 will make sure you and your kids are happy, safe, secure 25

and protected. I know you want him to hurt. I know you want revenge for everything he has done, I know this, but there are more ways to hurt someone than physical pain. State—it's a statement against interest. It continues on, you know that, you're a living proof of that, together we can beat him at his own game. If that's the rules he wants to set then he best be ready to live by them. And a statement of a co-conspirator.

THE COURT: We have some issue, parts of it that are clearly state of mind and certain parts are obviously statements against penal interest or at least would certainly be useful in a criminal prosecution for murder were he here to be prosecuted. And then a lot of it isn't hearsay at all. So it's admitted for those reasons.

Next is called no subject 9/26/99, 8:03.

MR. PLUMMER: Her.

MS. MABRY: That is her, Judge.

THE COURT: That comes in.

MS. MABRY: And--

THE COURT: The next is, Hello, lover, 9/26/99.

MS. MABRY: That is him to her, it talks--talks about being one with her forever, and he also says, I never ever forget how that worthless piece of shit has killed our baby. How he has stolen a life that God gave

1 to you and me, stolen all the joys and happiness of our future together with our baby. And then he ends with, 2 we will talk more about it later. 3 It's a dual statement against interest as well 4 as his state of mind. 5 6 THE COURT: Right. We have a serious indication 7 here, we will get him where we want him and then bam. So we have a partial state of mind and statement against 8 9 interest, this one will come in for those reasons. Next is, Why, 9/27/99. 10 MS. MABRY: That is his to her, and toward the 11 1.2 end of the page he tell her, you tell me you're gonna kill him, you hate it so bad you're willing to take that 13 14 risk, that you owe it to your kids, you don't care what 15 the risks are. Again, statement of co-conspirator, state of 16 17 mind. THE COURT: The Court will permit this under the 18 19 statement against interest obviously, and state of mind, 20 both exceptions apply. 21 Next, I guess it goes over to the top of the 22 next page, is that how you have it there--23 MR. PLUMMER: Yes, your Honor--24 THE COURT: --or is this separate--25 MR. PLUMMER: -- there is a short second page.

1	THE COURT: A little sentence on the top of the
2	next page, all right.
3	Then the next is no subject 9/27/99 at 1:37.
4	MS. MABRY: Nine/twenty-seven, I have it 10:26
5.	next.
6	One thirty-seven is from him, no, wait a minute,
.7	I'm
8	MR. PLUMMER: It's hers.
9	MS. MABRY: I'm sorry I have that wrong.
10	That's from her.
11	THE COURT: It's big print so you know it's the
12	same one
13	MR. PLUMMER: Yes
14	MS. MABRY: Right, that's from her.
15	THE COURT: Coming in.
16	MS. MABRY: The fact
17	THE COURT: The next is called no subject
18	9/27/99 at 1:56.
19	MS. MABRY: That is also from her.
20	THE COURT: Coming in then.
21	Moving now to no subject, 9/27/99 at 2:49.
22	MS. MABRY: That's from her
23	THE COURT: Hers to him, coming in at 2:49.
24	The next no subject, 9/27 at 10:26, hers to him
25	coming in.

1	The next is no subject, 9/28 at 8:59.
2	MS. MABRY: That's
3	THE COURT: Hers
4	MS. MABRY:hers
5	THE COURT:to him, two pages.
6	MS. MABRY: That is it for September, Judge, if
7	I could
8	THE COURT: Yes, that's
9	MS. MABRY:get my next packet
10	THE COURT:the conclusion of September.
11	We are ready for October, my first one says, Re:
12	Phone number, 10/3/99, is that what you have for the
13	first one for October everybody
14	MS. MABRY: Yes, that is true.
15	THE COURT: And nothing on it gives me, I can't
16	even make anything out of that one, so.
17	MS. MABRY: Judge, we could get something out of
18	it, but simply suffice if I just tell you that we are
19	moving to exclude this.
20	THE COURT: Take out, all right.
21	So the next one
22	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, if I could have just
23	one second, please?
24	MS. MABRY: Okay, sorry.
25	(Counsel conferring with counsel)

Judge . . .

(Counsel conferring with counsel)

Judge, I believe that there is going to be testimony from the AOL representative that the Bike Man for you which is where this transmission originates from is registered to Jerry Cassaday and in fact that Jerry Cassaday is sending his son an e-mail which the content of the e-mail is, you can reach me at a hotel in the Flint area, that's the--a Flint area hotel which would again place the--Jerry Cassaday in Flint. It would be a statement against interest and part of the conspiracy also.

So whatever CKS523 is, you're telling me that's back to his home?

MR. PLUMMER: If I can have one second, your Honor?

THE COURT: (No verbal response)

MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, given the quantity that we are going through, perhaps we could just ask the Court not to consider this one at this time, but if at some later period we feel it's important we ask to reserve the right to argue about it at that point. We haven't asked you to do that very often--

THE COURT: Well, just put a big question mark on it then?

1	MS. MABRY: Okay.
2	THE COURT: I'll just put a big question mark on
3	it then.
4	Now, let's see. All right, then I have in my
5	pack the next one, subject, Hi, honey, 10/20/99 from
6	her, is that where everyone else is?
7	MS. MABRY: That's correct.
8	THE COURT: So that's at 11:12 coming in.
9	MS. MABRY: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Then the subject is, It has been an-
11	other hour, 11/20/99(sic) at 12:38.
12	MS. MABRY: That's from her
13	THE COURT: That's hers to him, coming in.
14	The next says, no subject, 10/20/99, hers to
15	him.
16	MS. MABRY: At 3:34?
17	THE COURT: Yes, 3:34:34 coming in.
18	Then we have Re: It has been another hour,
19	10/20/99.
20	MS. MABRY: That is from
21	THE COURT: Him to her
22	MS. MABRY:him to her, and that is the state
23	of mind.
24	THE COURT: Well, the other thing on it is sig-
25	nificant is there a phone number which may be important

later, but in any event, state of mind and a phone 1 2 number. 3 I assume, just can I--maybe I could ask you. you think he is referring -- who was -- to whom he is refer-4 ring in this e-mail, does anyone know, we had a great 5 talk, he is such a great guy? 6 7 MS. MABRY: He may have gone to see a 8 psychiatrist. THE COURT: Counselor of some type perhaps? 9 MS. MABRY: Or his AA sponsor 'cause there is 10 references in the e-mails to he is cleaning up his act, 11 he is getting his life together and she says, hey, 12 13 that's great you're gettin' your life together, mine is falling apart. 14 MR. NICKOLA: I'm not sure which -- which one she 15 is referencing, Judge. 1.6 MS. MABRY: Just the general tenor of these, 17 that's how it fits into--18 THE COURT: Well, I'm on--19 20 MS. MABRY: -- the big picture. THE COURT: I only wanna deal with this one, but 21 22 I just thought perhaps that first paragraph should just 23 be taken off, out, because the he, whoever he is I have no idea who he is and--24 25 MR. PLUMMER: Your--

1	MS. MABRY: II believe taken in the context of
2	the other e-mails that he is talking about getting his
3	life together and AA and
4	THE COURT: Well, is it relevant or probative of
5	anything, the first paragraph only I'm speaking of?
6	MS. MABRY: Judge, if you feel it is marginal,
7	we'll take it out.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Let's just strike the first
9	paragraph of the one it has been another hour out.
10	MR. PLUMMER: Excuse me, your Honor.
1.1	THE COURT: The first paragraph is stricken on
12	Re: It has been another hour.
13	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, we are asking to with
14	we'llwe will just remove that one
15	MS. MABRY: Withdraw the whole one
16	MR. PLUMMER:and reserve our right
17	THE COURT: Oh, you're gonna take the whole one
18	out?
19	MR. NICKOLA: Yes.
20	MR. PLUMMER: Right. Reserve the right to argue
21	it if we wanna bring it in for its truth.
22	THE COURT: Reserve the right to argue it if you
23	want to for its truth?
24	MR. PLUMMER: Well, your Honor, I have the same
25	question in my mind about who he is

THE COURT: Okay --1 2 MR. PLUMMER: -- and I--so I can't really answer 3 that today, but if that had somehow become significant 4 and we needed to try to get this in for the truth of the 5 matters asserted in the statement, then we would just 6 like to reserve the ability to come to you and say, your 7 Honor, on this one we thought we weren't gonna need, we now believe that there is a basis for it, here is our 8 9 argument and ask you to rule on it then. 10 THE COURT: All right, today it's out in any--11 MR. PLUMMER: Today is it out, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Now, next one, no sub-13 ject, 10/20/99, 5:55. 14 MS. MABRY: Five fifty-two? 15 THE COURT: Yes, 5:52. 16 MS. MABRY: That was from her to him. 17 THE COURT: Coming in then. 18 Let's see, next is no subject, it's a photo, 19 10/20/99 20 MS. MABRY: That--21 MR. PLUMMER; Whoops--22 THE COURT: --9:09. 23 MS. MABRY: Nine-o-nine fifty-six? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. MABRY: That would be of the EPT test.

THE COURT: See those things, I can't read these 1 either very well, so I'm sure you have better pictures. 2 3 Are you offering, again there is four or five that look 4 kind of like something? 5 MS. MABRY: That's correct. 6 THE COURT: Seven, eight, nine. MS. MABRY: Judge, I do have seven of similar 7 photographs of her showing Jerry Cassaday or EPT test--8 9 THE COURT: Whatever it is I can't make it out, 10 but in any event, are you asking to put all of these in? 11 THE COURT: At this juncture, Judge, but again we will go through 'em and weed through some of them. 12 13 THE COURT: Cumulative, it's clearly cumulative, 14 but I think we should just pick out one today or two of 15 them if that is what you what. I can't make anything 16 out of what they are suppose to be for. MS. MABRY: Well, she is showing him that I took 17 18 a--one of those at-home pregnancy tests and, gee, look, 19 I'm pregnant. One line means you're not pregnant--20 THE COURT: It's a black--21 MS. MABRY: --two lines means you are. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MS. MABRY: So she is--24 THE COURT: So are you saying you would want 25 perhaps one with her and the, whatever this thing is,

1 EPT, in front and then one of the one perhaps with the--2 MR. PLUMMER: Package. If that is what that is, a package? THE COURT: 3 MS. MABRY: Yeah, the--4 Right. And there is one other 5 MR. PLUMMER: 6 one, your Honor, that makes some mention about two 7 stripes means pregnant. MS. MABRY: I--8 9 THE COURT: You want--10 MS. MABRY: --think that--THE COURT: Yeah, this one says one line not 11 12 pregnant, two lines pregnant. MR. PLUMMER: Okay, that's the--13 14 MS. MABRY: That's--MR. PLUMMER: -- one I was referring to, yes. 15 16 THE COURT: So there will be three you want in? 17 MS. MABRY: Judge, my feeling to tell you the truth on this, is the fact that she's hammering on it 18 has some relevancy in and of itself, she keeps on send-19 20 ing and re-sending and look at this, look at this, look at this, look at this, I'm pregnant, I'm pregnant, I'm 21 pregnant, I'm pregnant, I'm pregnant, I think that says 22 23 something--24 THE COURT: Well, I think you can pick one out 25 of the device, whatever that thing is with her face in

1	the background, the one line not pregnant, two lines
2	pregnant and it there is one other one, I really can't
3	tell what this thing is with a package in it.
4	MS. MABRY: There is one that has aa package,
5	she printed in one line not pregnant, two lines preg-
6	nant, that definitely I would
7	THE COURT: That comes in
8	MS. MABRY:argues comes in.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MS. MABRY: And there is another one after that
11	9:22:58 that is a clear picture of easy to read, and it
12	shows the two lines.
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	MS. MABRY: That has
15	THE COURT: That one.
16	MS. MABRY: Well, it doesn't have her face in it
17	I believe
18	THE COURT: No, but
19	MS. MABRY:that should be admissible.
20	THE COURT: The same blouse behind it though
21	that is wearing where her face is shown in the earlier
22	picture.
23	MS. MABRY: Right.
24	THE COURT: So pick out one with her holding the
25	device